

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,690	06/27/2003	Ram Gopal Lakshmi Narayanan	042933/263792	9684
826 ALSTON & BI	7590 04/10/200 RD LLP	EXAMINER		
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			WILLIAMS, JEFFERY L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2137	
	-			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/10/2007	PAP	FR

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/608,690	NARAYANAN, RAM GOPAL LAKSHMI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Jeffery Williams	2137				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED	. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Ja	anuary 2007.					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
• —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	•					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.	·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		,				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 08 January 2007 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	937 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No				
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	•	d in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	ατοιτ προιιστίστ				

Art Unit: 2137

1	DETAILED ACTION
2	
3	This action is in response to the communication filed on 1/8/07.
4	All objections and rejections not set forth below have been withdrawn.
5	Claims 1 – 20 are pending.
6	
7	Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114
8	
9	A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set
10	forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this
11	application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set
12	forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action
13	has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/8/07
14	has been entered.
15	
16	Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102
17	
18	The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that
19	form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
20	A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
21 22 23 24 25	(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/608,690

Art Unit: 2137

Claims 1 – 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Boden et al. (Boden), "System and Method for Managing Security Objects", U.S. Patent 6,330, 562.

5 .

Regarding claim 1, Boden discloses:

providing a plurality of security policies (7:51-58), wherein each security policy includes an application instance identifier associated with a security service, at least two application instance identifiers being associated with different security services that operate according to different protocols (3:61-64; 4:17-23; 7:29-29; 12:60-13:5). Boden discloses that each application (i.e. an IKE application and an VPN manager application) may identify, within a policy, to the system to employ IPSec as a way of providing security services. Each of the plurality of established policies may have associated with them differing security services (6:52-67).

and creating at least one security association, wherein the at least one security association is created based upon the at least one security service (2:3-8) associated with at least one application instance identifier to thereby create a centralized key store including the plurality of security policies and the at least one security association (figs. 3-3d; 3:23-36).

Regarding claims 6 and 11 they are rejected, at least, for the same reasons as claim 1, and because Boden further discloses:

Art Unit: 2137

1	a first security gateway configured for providing a plurality of security policies (fig.
2	1:18, 19) wherein the first security gateway is configured for applying a security
3	service associated with an identified (7:29-29; 12:60-13:5) application instance identifier
4.	to at least one packet of data to thereby transform the at least one packet of data,
5	wherein the first security gateway is configured for applying the security service to the at
6	least one packet based upon at least one security policy and at least one security
7	association (fig. 1; 3:60-4:4; 6:13-31; 11:table 1); and a second security gateway
8	configured for applying the security service associated with the identified application
9	instance identifier to the at least one transformed packet of data to thereby generate a
10	representation of the at least one packet of data (fig. 1; 3:60-4:4; 6:13-31; 11:table 1);
11	
12	

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Regarding claims 2, 3, 9, 12, and 14, Boden further discloses a system comprising sending and receiving gateways. Each gateway further comprises a VPN/user application capable of creating and accessing policies within a policy definition database (3:60-4:16). Both the sending and receiving gateways receive and transmit packets of which are transformed upon transmission or reception according to (application identified) security services between nodes (3:1-20; 3:60-4:16; fig. 1).

19

20

21

22

Regarding claims 4, 8, and 13, Boden further discloses:

at least one security policy further including at least one selector field having at least one selector value in a format common to a plurality of security service protocols, Application/Control Number: 10/608,690

Art Unit: 2137

er: 10/608,690 Page 5

1 and wherein applying the security service comprises applying the security service

2 further based upon the at least one security policy including the at least one selector

value (11:table 1; figs. 3-3d; 13:13-50; 13:62-14:25). Boden discloses a security policy

having common selector fields in addition to the application defined identifiers, wherein

security services are based upon the selector fields.

6

7

3

4

5

Regarding claims 7, it is rejected, at least, for the same reasons as claims 1 and

8 6.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Regarding claims 5, 10, and 15, Boden further discloses *creating at least one* security association according to an Internet Key Exchange (IKE) technique (3:60-4:16).

Regarding claims 16 – 20, they are the features and limitations of the above rejected claims embodies as computer instructions upon a medium. Thus, they are rejected, at least, for the same reasons as the above rejected claims, and further because Boden discloses a computer program product for creating and maintaining a centralized key store (15:62-16:6).

17<sup>-</sup>

## Response to Arguments

19

20

18

Applicant's arguments filed 1/8/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

22

21

Application/Control Number: 10/608,690

Art Unit: 2137

Applicant argues primarily that:

(i) In no event, however, does Boden disclose applying a security service other than IPSec such that the security policies include application instance identifiers associated with security services. (Remarks, pg. 11)

Page 6

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., applying a security service other than IPSec such that the security policies include application instance identifiers associated with security services) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

(ii) In accordance with the present invention, on the other hand, IPSec is but one of a number of different security services that may be implemented using the claimed centralized key store (Remarks, pg. 11).

In response, the examiner respectfully notes that the applicant's arguments appear to be base upon a misinterpretation of IPSEC. Applicant is respectfully invited to review evidentiary teachings concerning IPSEC (Kent et al., RFC 2401, "Security Architecture for the Internet Protocol", 1998, pgs. 1-66). Boden teaches inter alia the

Application/Control Number: 10/608,690 Page 7

Art Unit: 2137

use of IPSEC. As is known to those of ordinary skill in the art, IPSEC is a security 1 architecture. This framework enables to provision of a plurality of security services that 2 operate according to a plurality of protocols. 3

4

5

Conclusion 6

7

8

9

10

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

## see Notice of References Cited

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

A shortened statutory period for reply is set to expire 3 months (not less than 90 days) from the mailing date of this communication.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffery Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272-7965. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,690

Art Unit: 2137

1 Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

- 2 Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for
- 3 published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.
- 4 Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.
- 5 For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should
- 6 you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic
- 7 Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

8

9

10 J. Williams

11 AU 2137

12

777

MATTHEW SMITHERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Antiluit 2137

Page 8